# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CIVIL ACTION No. 04-11924-RGS

| IAN J. BROWN, JAMES BROWN and     |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| BARBARA BROWN,                    |  |
| Plaintiffs                        |  |
|                                   |  |
| v.                                |  |
|                                   |  |
| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,         |  |
| VERIZON NEW ENGLAND, INC. and     |  |
| BOSTON EDISON COMPANY d/b/a NSTAR |  |
| ELECTRIC                          |  |
|                                   |  |
| Defendants                        |  |
|                                   |  |

# BOSTON EDISON COMPANY'S SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF ITS OPPOSITION TO THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA'S MOTION TO DISMISS

Now comes the defendant and cross-claim plaintiff, Boston Edison Company ("Boston Edison"), and submits the within Supplemental Memorandum in support of its Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss filed by the United States of America. The limited discovery permitted by the Court demonstrates that the cross-claim asserted by Boston Edison is not barred by either Feres v. United States, 340 U.S. 135, 71 S. Ct. 153 (1950) or Stencel Aero Engineering Corp. v. United States, 431 U.S. 666, 97 S. Ct. 2054 (1977).

In *Stencel*, a National Guard officer sought to recover for injuries sustained by an alleged malfunction of his ejection system in his fighter jet. 431 U.S. at 667. The *Stencel* court ruled that the cross-claim asserted by a military vendor for indemnity was barred by reason of the

Feres doctrine. 431 U.S. at 673-674. However, under the circumstances and facts of this case as evidenced by the limited discovery taken to date, the rationale in Feres and Stencel have no application and do not bar the plaintiff's claims or the cross-claims asserted by Boston Edison.

Major Brian Peters testified at his deposition that he oversaw military personnel as well as civilian employees at Hanscom Air Force Base ("Hanscom"). (Major Peters Transcript, pp. 10-11; attached hereto as Exhibit "A") Mr. Brown worked in an office building at Hanscom where his responsibilities included working on software programs and hardware setups for an Air Force computer program called "Air Force Portal". (Peters Transcript at pp. 16-19) Mr. Brown's schedule essentially consisted of a typical work week Monday through Friday, 8 am to 4 pm. (Peters Transcript at pp. 21). There was "no hard and fast policy" as to lunch. Mr. Brown was generally allowed an hour for lunch and was not required to inform Major Peters when he was leaving or seek permission to leave. (Peters Transcript at pp. 29-30) Nor was Brown required to stay on base during his lunch hour. (Peters Transcript at pp. 31). Finally, Major Peters testified that Brown was not performing any military duties related to his position at the time of the accident. (Peters Transcript at pp. 45)

In addition, the depositions revealed that Brown's residence was located at a trailer park off Hartwell Road on land leased by the Air Force. (Peters Transcript at p. 14; See also Deposition Transcript of Dennis P. Cronin<sup>1</sup>, attached hereto as Exhibit "B" at pp. 15-18.)

Hartwell Road provides access to civilian residential homes and various civilian businesses.

There is no direct access from Hartwell Road to Hanscom. (See Deposition of Adrienne St.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Mr. Cronin testified as the Rule 30(b)(6) deponent for the United States Air Force.

John, attached hereto as Exhibit "C" at pp. 68-69) There are no restrictions as to civilian vehicular traffic along Hartwell Road and it is plowed, sanded, swept and otherwise maintained by the Town of Bedford. (St. John Transcript at pp. 34-35, 58-59, 69) (See also Deposition Transcript of Arthur Hayes, III², attached hereto as Exhibit "D" at pp. 38-39). In fact, the Air Force considers Hartwell Road to be "off base". (Cronin Transcript at p. 21)

#### **Supplemental Legal Argument**

The allowance of cross-claims by Boston Edison will not implicate any of the concerns raised in Stencel concerning the relationship between the Government and members of its Armed Forces. Stencel involved a relationship between the Government and a supplier of military equipment. In this case, the relationship between the United States and Boston Edison is not "distinctively federal in character". In Stencel, the ejection system had been manufactured "pursuant to the specifications of, and by use of certain components provided by, the United Unlike Stencel, a trial of this action, a motorcycle accident, does not States". Stencel at 667. involve "second-guessing military orders" or require "members of the Armed Forces to testify as to each other's decisions and actions" Stencel at 673. Similarly, Boston Edison's crossclaim against the United States is not based upon a "commercial contract" such that any "risk" could have been taken into account at the time of negotiation between the United States and its vendor. (See Stencel at 674, n. 8).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Mr. Hayes testified as the Rule 30(b)(6) deponent for the United Sates Navy.

# Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, Boston Edison respectfully requests that this Court deny the Government's Motion to Dismiss its cross-claims.

Respectfully submitted,

BOSTON EDISON COMPANY,

By its attorney,

Michael K. Callahan

BBO #546660

NSTAR Electric & Gas Corporation

800 Boylston Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor

Boston, MA 02199

617-424-2102

| Major Brian Peters |                              | 04/21/2005 |
|--------------------|------------------------------|------------|
|                    |                              |            |
|                    | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |            |
|                    | DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS    |            |

| IAN J. BROWN, JAMES BROWN an | d )     | EXHIBIT          |
|------------------------------|---------|------------------|
| BARBARA BROWN,               | J       |                  |
| Plaintiffs,                  | )       | CIVIL ACTION     |
| VS.                          | )       | No. 04-11924-RGS |
| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,    | )       |                  |
| VERIZON NEW ENGLAND, INC., a | nd )    |                  |
| BOSTON EDISON COMPANY d/b/a  | )       |                  |
| NSTAR ELECTRIC,              | )       |                  |
| Defendants.                  | )       |                  |
|                              | )       |                  |
| Depos                        | ition ( | of               |

MAJOR BRIAN PETERS
Thursday, April 21, 2005

Reported by: SHERYL DIRKS, CSR #3513

best of your ability just mark with a "W" the general area where you believe your office is located?

- A. Okay. It is marked.
- Q. Can you generally describe for me what your assignment as a major at Hanscom Air Force Base entailed?
  - A. I was a captain at Hanscom Air Force Base. I have since been promoted. We were members of the acquisition community at Hanscom. It's in acquisitions it's primarily office work where you worry about the cost schedule and performance of various efforts that the Air Force undertakes. It's not what people typically associate with being in the Air Force with launching and recovering aircraft, those types of things. It's mostly office work.

Hanscom Air Force Base doesn't even have an active runway anymore. It's basically an office park.

- Q. Okay. And in your position as a captain, did you oversee civilians?
- A. Yes. I had several civilians under -- that I supervised. These civilians were actually contractors, not government civilians, though.
- Q. They were military contractors?
- A. They were contractors under contract with the government. They were not what people would refer to as a government civilian or a --
  - Q. Okay. In any event they weren't active duty

|    | Major Br                            | ian Peters 04/21/200                                 | ) 5 |  |
|----|-------------------------------------|--|-----|--|
|    |                                     | 11   |     |  |
| 1  | militar                             | y personnel?   |     |  |
| 2  | Α.                                  | No.  |     |  |
| 3  | Q.                                  | Did you also oversee or supervise military           |     |  |
| 4  | personn                             | el?  |     |  |
| 5  | Α.                                  | Yes, I did.  |     |  |
| 6  | Q.                                  | And approximately how many?                          |     |  |
| 7  | Α.                                  | Depending on what time frame but between three and   |     |  |
| 8  | five ot                             | her lieutenants.                                     |     |  |
| 9  | Q.                                  | And was Lieutenant Ian Brown one of those?           |     |  |
| 10 | Α.                                  | Yes. Lieutenant Brown was one of my subordinates.    |     |  |
| 11 | Q.                                  | You indicated that there were approximately three to |     |  |
| 12 | five li                             | eutenants?   |     |  |
| 13 | Α.                                  | Yes.   |     |  |
| 14 | Q.                                  | And were there any military personnel subordinates   |     |  |
| 15 | to those three to five lieutenants? |  |     |  |
| 16 | Α.                                  | Not to my knowledge.                                 |     |  |
| 17 | Q.                                  | Did this unit have any name?                         |     |  |
| 18 | Α.                                  | I don't understand your question.                    |     |  |
| 19 | Q.                                  | Okay. You indicated that you supervised three to     |     |  |
| 20 | five li                             | eutenants. Did that group have a set of specific     |     |  |
| 21 | respons                             | ibilities assigned to it?                            |     |  |
| 22 | Α.                                  | Yes. We all had responsibilities towards the Global  |     |  |
| 23 | Combat                              | Support System Air Force Program.                    |     |  |
| 24 | Q.                                  | Did that group of three to five lieutenants that you |     |  |
| 25 | oversaw                             | have a specific unit name or designation?            |     |  |

- 1 | January 4th, 2002?
- 2 A. I believe that he was sharing a trailer with his
- 3 | girlfriend at the time in the Hanscom Air Force Base Mobile
- 4 Home Park, but I had never, I have never been there.
- 5 Q. You've never been to the mobile home park where he
- 6 lived?
- 7 A. I have never been to Ian Brown's mobile home in the
- 8 | mobile home park.
- 9 Q. Have you ever been to the mobile home park?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. If we could go back to Exhibit No. 2?
- 12 A. I have Exhibit No. 2.
- 13 | Q. Okay.
- Can you identify on Exhibit No. 2 the approximate
- 15 | location of the mobile home park?
- 16 A. I believe it's the area labeled "mobile home park"
- 17 to the left of "Site 1" and above "Site 5."
- 18 Q. Okay. And according to this map that mobile home
- 19 park is located on or near Hartwell Road; is that correct?
- 20 A. That's what the map shows me, yes.
- Q. Do you recall whether the mobile home park when you
- 22 | went there was located on Hartwell Road?
- 23 A. Yes, I believe it was. I'm not aware of it moving.
- Q. If you could look at Exhibit No. 1 which is the
- 25 | affidavit of Brian Carl Peters, Major United States Air

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

16

Lieutenant Brown worked on, like I said. Air Force Portal that several of the lieutenants in the office were put on that task, and Lieutenant Brown also worked with one of the individual mobilization augmentees that we had in the office who was also a computer engineer, software engineer. Do you know -- you said for most of Lieutenant Brown's first year he was training. Do you know when that first year began approximately? I don't recall the day that he PCS'd into Hanscom. Α. Sorry. How about as of January 4th, 2002; was he still in 0. training at that point? He was not currently in a class, in a TDY class because he was at Hanscom. The training courses that he went to many of them we are off base. Several of them he could complete online. But I think he was -- I'm pretty sure he was finished with his online training by that point. You said part of his work consisted of Air Force 0. Portal which was basically web design. Do I understand that correctly? There is a program called Air Force Portal which we Α. use, which the Air Force is migrating all of its information

systems to. So that through the Internet you can have access to your personnel data, to pay data. It's basically one-stop shopping. It's all consolidated. The program

#### Major Brian Peters

04/21/2005

17

actually was designed -- I don't know how it's currently set 1 up but at the time Air Force Portal was being run out of 2 Gunter Air Force Base or Gunter Annex of Maxwell Air Force 3 base actually down south, and Ian was learning the ins and 4

outs of that program. 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

In that time frame there were several major decisions made with regard to the types of hardware and software that would support the Air Force Portal. Obviously setting something up for the entire Air Force to use requires guite a bit of thought.

- Do you know specifically what Lieutenant Brown's job 0. duties were with respect to the Air Force Portal, what he was doing?
- I don't know specifically at this time. 14 Α.
- Can you tell me as of approximately January 4th, 15 Q.
- 16 2002 what percentage of Lieutenant Brown's workday would
- consist of working on the Air Force Portal? 17
- I could only guess. No, I wouldn't know. 18 Α.
- would you say it was more than half of his day? 19 Q.
- 20 I don't think it would have been that much. I'd say Α. 21 less than 50 percent.
- 22 You also indicated he was working with -- I think 0. you said individual mobile augmenteer? 23
- 24 Individual mobilization augmentee is a reservist.
- 25 There was a young man who wanted to do his reserve time at

#### Major Brian Peters

04/21/2005

- Hanscom Air Force Base and he had the right skill-set to 1
- assist our program, and to my knowledge Ian worked with him 2
- on occasion. 3
- Do you know what he worked with him on? 4 Q.
- Not specifically at this point. I'd have to go back 5 Α.
- into files and look it up. 6
- And then you also mentioned software engineering. 7 Q.
- Did I understand that correctly? 8
- To understand how the hardware and software works 9 Α.
- together on these programs you have to have a foundation in 10
- -- well, you should have some understanding of software. 11
- And did Lieutenant Brown work on the hardware or 12 ο.
- 13 software for this program?
- Not directly. He did not write code. 14 Α. However.
- 15 understanding the concepts of how the different systems work
- would have been something that Ian would have needed to 16
- 17 learn for this program.
- So you've indicated that less than 50 percent of 18
- 19 Lieutenant Brown's time was devoted to the Air Force Portal,
- 20 and that he also spent some time working with the individual
- 21 mobilization augmentee. What else did Lieutenant Brown do
- 22 at Hanscom?
- 23 He also worked on the Global Combat Support System Α.
- 24 programs. They're all related computer software and
- 25 hardware setups.

- Q. So all of Lieutenant Brown's job duties at Hanscom revolved around computer hardware and software; is that correct?
  - A. It all revolved around the things that were necessary for the Global Combat Support System Office, yes.
  - Q. Could you describe for me a typical workday at Hanscom for Lieutenant Brown?
    - A. A typical workday would have been coming to work, working on his normal programs, attending meetings or setting up meetings as necessary to get his things done. He would routinely go home for lunch.

We allowed a fairly liberal amount of physical training time for all the lieutenants in the office.

Occasionally they would go work out together at the gym or they would work out by themselves at the gym, usually on base. And afternoon would be more of the same. Working on the -- your various projects. This time of the year, January is normally very focused on contractual issues and financial issues. The way the contracts, the recurring contracts with our supporting contractors were set up most of them were expired in December and would then, therefore, be renewed, you know, beginning of January.

Additionally we'd have quite a few discussions about financial issues since the president's budget normally would be approved sometime in the fall and the money distribution

(800) 822-3376

- All of the military people on the program 1 Α. worked in the same office area. 2
- And is that -- is the building where you worked an 3 Q.
- office building? 4
- Yes, it is. 5 Α.
- It's not a hangar? 6 ο.
- It is not a hangar. 7 Α.
- Did Lieutenant Brown have his own office in the 8 Q.
- 9 buildina?
- Lieutenant Brown had a cubicle with his own 10 Α.
- computer, telephone, an office area. But it was not 11
- separate from the rest of the office. It was just a 12
- 13 cubicle.
- 14 Were there set hours of work for Lieutenant Brown? Q.
- 15 We worked a normal workday. Yes. Α.
- What was the normal workday? 16 Q.
- It was expected -- we had some flexibility in the 17 Α.
- 18 workday: however, it was expected that you were at work
- between 8:00 and 4:00 o'clock. 8:00 in the morning and 4:00 19
- in the afternoon. 20
- 21 Any other arrangements where if you needed to take
- 22 care of some business off base outside of those times, then
- 23 it would have to be specifically arranged.
- 24 How many days a week was -- did Lieutenant Brown 0.
- 25 work?

- Q. I'd like to ask you some questions about the lunch policy if any. Was there a specific set lunch time in the office?
- A. No. Often -- based on meetings and other things it was not uncommon for people to have to shift their lunch hours slightly, you know, between, you know, 10:00 o'clock in the morning and maybe 2:00 o'clock in the afternoon.

  Additionally some of the lieutenants or some of the people in the office they would combine physical fitness activities with their lunch hour and take a slightly extended lunch hour several days a week but there was no hard and fast policy that lunch was, you know, between 11:15 and 12:15 every day.
- Q. You mentioned a few times the word "lunch hour."

  I'm sorry. I'll reask the question. You mentioned the word

  "lunch hour" a few times. Was the lunch break a one-hour

  lunch break?
- A. Generally we were allowed about an hour to have, to go have lunch. If you had lunch on base, obviously, it would take a lot less than an hour to get all that done. If you were going off base to one of the local civilian establishments, it could take an hour by the time you could get to and from and get your food and have a nice lunch.
- Q. Would they have to clock in or out for lunch?
- A. No. We did not have any sort of time card system to

# Maior Brian Peters

04/21/2005

- clock in and out. 1
- Did anyone keep track of how long the lieutenants 2
- were taking for lunch? 3
- Not specifically with a stop watch or something. Τf 4
- it seemed like a lieutenant was taking too long or abusing 5
- the flexibility that we had in the workday, we would address 6
- it. 7
- would all the members in your office take lunch at 8 0.
- the same time? 9
- Rarely all of us took lunch at the same time. 10 Α.
- was it on an individual basis? 11 Q.
- 12 Α. Yes.
- Did anyone have to seek permission to take his lunch 13 0.
- 14 break?
- 15 Α. No.
- And he did not, Lieutenant Brown did not have to 16 Q.
- sign out for lunch? 17
- No. Lieutenant Brown did not have to sign out for 1.8 Α.
- 19 lunch.
- 20 was he required to let you know that he was leaving Ο.
- 21 for lunch?
- 22 Α. No.
- 23 You mention that Lieutenant Brown or the other Q.
- 24 lieutenants would on occasion work out during their lunch?
- 25 Yes. Α.

### Major Brian Peters

04/21/2005

- Were there any restrictions on what Lieutenant Brown Q. 1 could do during his lunch break? 2
  - I don't understand that question. Α.
- Okay. Let me ask you this. Was he required to 0. 4 remain in his uniform during lunch? 5
- was he required to remain in his uniform during Α. 6
- 7 There wouldn't be a policy that says that during
- your lunch hour you had to remain in your uniform. 8
- you know, if he were going to go work out, it would be 9
- expected that he would change into gym clothes. If he were 10
- going to, you know, it becomes a convenience thing. 11
- expected to have his uniform on when he left work and he 12
- will be expected to have his uniform on when he returns from 13
- 14 his lunch hour. So I suppose if it suited Lieutenant Brown
- 15 to change out of his uniform for his lunch hour and then
- 16 return to work and put his uniform back on, that would be
- 17 fine
- 18 He was not required to stay on the base during 0.
- 19 lunch: is that correct?
- 20 within -- if he could get on and off base Α.
- 21 within the guidelines of approximately an hour for lunch,
- 22 then he could go off base for lunch.
- And he could eat his lunch at home if he wanted to? 23 Q.
- 24 Α. не often did.
- 25 And that was allowed? Q.

#### Major Brian Peters

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

22

23

24

25

04/21/2005

45

certainly was not injured because his duty was to drive his motorcycle. I can see where that can cause some confusion.

MR. CHARNAS: Thank you, Major. Those are all the questions I have.

#### EXAMINATION BY MR. STEVENS

Major Peters, my name is Jeffrey Stevens. 0. represent the Defendant Boston Edison Company. I believe it's still morning just about there. Good morning.

One question for you and I want to direct your attention to what's been marked as Exhibit 1 which is your affidavit, and specifically I'd like to draw your attention to paragraph 8 of your affidavit. If you could just take a moment to read that paragraph.

Okay. Α.

his motorcycle?

- 15 And in paragraph 8 you state based upon your information and belief on January 4th of 2002 at 16 17 approximately 1419 hours Lieutenant Brown lost control of
- 19 Yes. I see that paragraph.
- 20 Can you tell me what military duties Lieutenant Brown was performing at that time? 21
  - Again, I believe he was just returning from lunch Α. going -- coming back to work. He was not specifically executing a military duty. I mean, he didn't have a duty to be on Hartwell Road at that time.